

Comparative Advantage

AP Microeconomics | Unit 1 | specialization, terms of trade, and gains from trade

Running example (output per day):

	wheat (tons)	cars
Avalon	30	10
Brava	20	4

1. Absolute vs comparative advantage

Two kinds of advantage

Absolute advantage: can produce *more* of a good with the same resources.

Comparative advantage: can produce a good at a *lower opportunity cost*.

Avalon has the absolute advantage in both goods. Comparative advantage, not absolute advantage, decides who should produce what, and nobody can have a comparative advantage in everything.

2. Finding the opportunity cost

$$\text{Avalon: } 1 \text{ car} = \frac{30 \text{ wheat}}{10 \text{ cars}} = 3 \text{ wheat} \qquad \text{Brava: } 1 \text{ car} = \frac{20 \text{ wheat}}{4 \text{ cars}} = 5 \text{ wheat}$$

$$\text{Avalon: } 1 \text{ wheat} = \frac{1}{3} \text{ car} \qquad \text{Brava: } 1 \text{ wheat} = \frac{1}{5} \text{ car}$$

Avalon has the comparative advantage in **cars** ($3 < 5$); Brava in **wheat** ($\frac{1}{5} < \frac{1}{3}$). Each specializes in the good it makes at the lower opportunity cost.

Output table or input table?

Output (how much is made in a fixed time): more is better; cost of X = other good $\div$ X (“other goes over”).

Input (hours or workers needed for one unit): fewer is better; cost of X = hours for X $\div$ hours for the other good (“it goes over”).

3. Terms of trade

Avalon will sell a car only for more than 3 wheat (its own cost). Brava will buy a car only for less than 5 wheat (what it would cost to make one itself).

Mutually beneficial terms of trade

Any price **between the two opportunity costs**: here between 3 and 5 wheat per car.

At 4 wheat per car, Avalon receives 4 for a car that cost it 3, and Brava pays 4 for a car that would have cost it 5.

4. The gains from trade

Avalon without trade	5 cars and 15 wheat (a point on its own PPC)
Avalon with trade	makes 10 cars, sells 5 for $5 \times 4 = 20$ wheat: 5 cars and 20 wheat

That point lies *outside* Avalon's PPC. Trade does not change what a country can produce; it changes what it can *consume*. Brava gains in the same way.

Where students lose points

1. Using absolute advantage to decide who specializes.
2. Reading an input table as if it were output (fewer hours is better).
3. The opportunity-cost ratio upside down.
4. Terms of trade outside the two opportunity costs.
5. Saying trade shifts the PPC: it moves consumption beyond it.

Now practise it

Theory sticks once you use it. On **sad-academy.com** you'll find practice questions for this exact subtopic in the AP format, and an AI that grades your free-response answers against the scoring guidelines, the way an AP reader would.

sad-academy.com

Data are hypothetical. AP[®] is a registered trademark of College Board, which is not affiliated with and does not endorse this material.